

+

#0019

+

Comment on "A Tunguska sized airburst destroyed Tall el-Hammam ... near the Dead Sea".

German B.R. (1, 2), (1) Institute of Physics, Ukraine (2) Scientist, Freiburg, Germany, borisgerman@hotmail.com.

Unlike an alleged airburst over TeH [1], for the 1908 Tunguska event: (1) 'meteor' airburst was not confirmed [2, 3]; destructions trees in the epicentre indicated ground ruptures since on open places remained unaffected 150-years groves; the underground fire, conforming to the natural gases outlet, had $T=600^{\circ}\text{C}$ [4], (2) Ir anomaly [1] was refuted [5] (a small increase was of industrial origin [6]), (3) shocked quartz [1] was found only as ancient, not 1908 year [7], (4) nanodiamonds had no meteor/impact origin [8], (5) the airburst simulation by [9], used in [1], was incorrect: the vapor jet could not spread east of the Tunguska epicentre since no airglows were in this area [4], (6) the melted Fe-Si spherules (GEMs) was not found in micrometeoroids [10], (7) the 500 deers [1] did not burn/die: Evenks called bags of flour 'deers'.

[1] Bunch, T. et al. (2021) Sci. Rep. 11, 18632. [2] Kundt, W. (2003) Chin. JAA. Sup. 3, 545. [3] German, B. (2019) ISBN: 9783981952612. [4] German, B. (2021) ISBN: 9783981952629 (in Russ.), 128. [5] Rasmussen, K. (1995) Meteoritics 34, 634. [6] Nazarov, M. (1983) LPI, 548. [7] Vannucchi, P. et al. (2015) EPSL 409, 168. [8] Sobotovich, E. (1985) Meteoritica 44, 135 (in Russian). [9] Boslough, M. & Crawford, D. (2008) Int. J. Impact. Eng. 35, 1441. [9] [10] Popel, S. (2015) Priroda 9, 52 (in Russian).

+

+

Cite abstract as:

German, B.R. (2021) Comment on "A Tunguska sized airburst destroyed Tall el-Hammam ... near the Dead Sea".. Paneth Kolloquium, Online (Germany), abstract URL:

<https://paneth.eu/PanethKolloquium/2021/0019.pdf> (abstract #0019).